UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,873	03/23/2004	James W. Stasiak	200309781	5513
	7590 04/02/200 CKARD COMPANY	9	EXAM	INER
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			TRAN, BINH X	
	NS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/02/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM ipa.mail@hp.com jessica.l.fusek@hp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action O	10/807,873	STASIAK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Binh X. Tran	1792	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communice. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stion. by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this commander ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice upon the condition for a closed.	☐ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal ma	, ·	nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 26,27,31,32,38,41 and 73-81 is 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) 26,27,31,32,38,41,73 and 75-8 6) ☐ Claim(s) 74 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration. 1 <u>1</u> is/are allowed.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 August 2008 in Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	s/are: a) accepted or b) c to the drawing(s) be held in abeyon correction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action fo	uments have been received. uments have been received in ne priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National St	age
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/09/2008.	948) Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of Species B in the reply filed on 01-09-2009 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claim 75 is allowable. The restriction requirement between species A and species B, as set forth in the Office action mailed on 12-05-2008, has been reconsidered in view of the allowability of claims to the elected invention pursuant to MPEP § 821.04(a). The restriction requirement is hereby withdrawn as to any claim that requires all the limitations of an allowable claim. Claim 77, directed to species A is no longer withdrawn from consideration because the claim(s) requires all the limitations of an allowable claim. In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement, applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.
- 3. Once a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Drawings

4. The drawings were received on 08-15-2008. These drawings are acceptable.

Art Unit: 1792

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claim 74 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claim 74, the applicants recite "wherein said depositing a plurality of discrete nanoscale objects is conducted in the absence of depositing a nanoscale-object-attracting-coating in said nanoscale openings" (emphasis added). The examiner considers this is a negative limitation. However, the examiner is unable to find the proper support for this negative limitation in the specification.

According to MPEP 2173.05 (i) "The mere absence of a positive recitation is not basis for an exclusion. Any claim containing a negative limitation which does not have basis in the original disclosure should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement". (Please see MPEP 2173.05 (i) for further detail).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 26-27, 31-32, 38, 41, 73, 75-81 are allowed.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Respect to claims 26-27, 31-32, 38, 41, 73, the cited prior arts fail to disclose or suggest the step of

Application/Control Number: 10/807,873

Art Unit: 1792

releasing the imprint mold to expose a template having a template surface formed into the imprint resist layer and having nanoscale openings formed therein to receive nanoscale objects; and depositing a plurality of discrete nanoscale objects onto the template such that the nanoscale objects are received within said nanoscale openings in combination with all other limitation in the claims. Respect to claims 75-81, the cited prior arts fail to disclose or suggest the step of depositing a nanoscale object onto the resist such that the nanoscale object is received within said nanoscale opening in combination with all other limitation in the claims

Page 4

9. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

10. The applicant's amendment filed on 8-15-2008 is sufficient to overcome the examiner's previous objection.

Applicant's arguments, see page 7-14, filed 8-15-2008, with respect to claims 26 and all other dependent claims have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous ground of rejections has been withdrawn. Specifically, the examiner agrees that Yang teaches to completely remove the resist layer and then deposit the nanoscale objects.

Art Unit: 1792

A new ground of rejection is set forth as discuss above the address applicant's new claim 74. Specifically, the examiner is unable to find proper support in the specification for new claim 74.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh X. Tran whose telephone number is (571)272-1469. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

Art Unit: 1792

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Binh X Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 1792

/Binh X Tran/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792